DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

OCT - 2 1997

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)		
)		
Federal-State Joint)	CC Docket No.	96-45
Board on Universal)		
Service	j		

Comments of General Communication, Inc. on Petitions for Reconsideration

Pursuant to Section 1.429 of the Commission's rules, General Communication, Inc. (GCI) hereby submits comments on the Rural Telephone Coalition's (RTC) Petition for Reconsideration relating to the recovery of corporate operations expenses in the Report and Order¹ adopted on May 8, 1997 and revised in the Order on Reconsideration² adopted July 10, 1997. The Commission should affirm its decision.

Overhead Costs Must Be Capped

In the Report and Order and the subsequent Order on Reconsideration, the Commission adopted a formula that establishes a range of reasonableness for the recovery of corporate operations expenses from the universal service fund. The Commission noted that "these expenses do not appear to be costs inherent in providing telecommunications services, but

²In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket 96-45, FCC 97-246 (July 10, 1997).



In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket 96-45, FCC 97-157, 62 Fed. Reg. 32,862 (June 17, 1997).

rather may result from managerial priorities and discretionary spending." The RTC asks the Commission to reconsider this position. They claim that these expenses are "the necessary costs of doing business as a regulated company and are hardly extraneous to the provision of service." They claim that current regulatory requirements force them to incur costs.

Further, "rural LECs are all but forced to rely on cost consultants and legal counsel to interpret the meaning and impact of the new regulations" due to the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Lastly, the RTC claims that they have not received proper notice of this provision under the Administrative Procedures Act (APA). These arguments are repetitive to those filed in the petition for reconsideration of the Report and Order. The Commission should affirm its findings on this matter.

The RTC is acting as if nothing has changed. In the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Congress stated that competition is the national goal. The RTC wants everyone else, particularly competitive carriers and interexchange carriers, to pay their costs of moving into a competitive environment. This is an absurd position and will at a minimum delay, if not deter competitive carriers from entering a market.

³Report and Order at paragraph 283.

⁴Petition for Reconsideration of RTC at 3.

⁵Id at 4.

⁶As pointed out in GCI's comments on the petitions for reconsideration of the <u>Report and Order</u>, this does not happen in a competitive world. Suppose a new drugstore or grocery store comes

Competitive carriers should not have to pay ILEC corporate operations expenses. The items outlined by the RTC show that they use those monies to keep competitors from entering the marketplace or to raise the price of entry so high that competitors will not enter. The Commission struck the right balance by capping the amount of expenses ILECs can reasonably expect to recover.

The Commission stated that the cap is "to ensure that the carriers use universal service support only to offer better service to their customers through prudent facility investment and maintenance consistent with their obligations under Section 254(k)." Pursuant to the Communications Act, the Commission must ensure that the universal support monies are distributed for their intended purpose. Under 254(k), the Commission

shall establish any necessary cost allocation rules, accounting safeguards, and guidelines to ensure that services included in the definition of universal service bear no more than a reasonable share of joint and common costs of facilities used to provide those services.

to town and sets up shop right down the block from a store that has been located there for ten years. If the marketplace made the new entrant act as the RTC requests in their petition for reconsideration, the new entrant would have to pay all the costs to the original store owner to be in a competitive marketplace. These costs would include more advertising, additional employees to offer better service, promotional activities, new employees to reprice items for promotions and for competition, a bigger sign to highlight the store, additional monies for community activities, etc. The list could go on forever. This is not even considered in a competitive marketplace and should not be considered here.

 $^{^{7}}$ This would be in violation of Section 253.

Report and order at 155.

Pursuant to this provision, the Commission is ensuring that corporate operations expenses are not excessive and that universal service does not bear more than its reasonable share of these costs. If the Commission did not cap corporate expenses, the Commission would be in violation of this provision.

The RTC claims that the Commission did not give adequate notice of this provision under the APA. This is incorrect. The Commission has been considering a cap on such expenses since it issued its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Notice of Inquiry in CC Docket 80-286.9 The Commission has included in the record of this proceeding all information filed in Docket 80-286 relating to the support mechanisms in Part 36.10 The Commission did this to avoid "unnecessary duplication of efforts by interested parties and regulators." The RTC and other parties have filed comments throughout the proceedings on this particular issue. The Commission gave proper notice and had an adequate record to adopt the cap on corporate operations expenses.

^{°10} FCC Rcd 12309, 12324 (1995).

¹⁰In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket 96-45, FCC 96-93 (March 8, 1996).

[&]quot;Id at paragraph 39.

Conclusion

The Commission should affirm its policies and rules regarding the cap on recovery of corporate operations expenses.

Respectfully submitted,

GENERAL COMMUNICATION, INC.

Kathy L. Shobert Director, Federal Affairs

901 15th St., NW

Suite 900

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202)842-8847

October 2, 1997

STATEMENT OF VERIFICATION

I have read the foregoing, and to the best of my knowledge, information and belief there is good ground to support it, and that it is not interposed for delay. I verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed October 2, 1997.

Kathy L. Shobert Director, Federal Affairs

901 15th St., NW

Suite 900

Washington, D.C. 20005

(202)842-8847

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kathy L. Shobert, do hereby certify that on this 2nd day of October, 1997 a copy of the foregoing was mailed by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the parties lighted below // /

Kathy L./\$hobert

Margot Smiley Humphrey Koteen & Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Ave., NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036

David Cosson NTCA 2626 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20037

Lisa M. Zaina
OPASTCO
21 Dupont Circle, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

Julia Johnson Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Laska Schoenfelder South Dakota PUC 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Chariman Reed E. Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., NW Room 814 Washington, DC 20554

Rachelle Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., NW Room 844 Washington, DC 20554 Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., NW Room 832 Washington, DC 20554

Martha S. Hogarty Public Counsel for the State of Missouri P. O. Box 7800 Harry S. Truman Building, Room 250 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Charles Bolles South Dakota PUC State Capitol Bldg. 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Deborah A. Dupont Federal Communications Commission Common Carrier Bureau 2000 L St., NW, Room 257 Washington, DC 20036

Brian Roberts California PUC 505 Van Ness Ave. San Francisco, California 94102

William Howden Federal Communications Commission 2000 L St., NW, Room 812 Washington, DC 20036

Michael A. McRea DC Office of the People's Council 1133 15th St., NW, Room 500 Washington, DC 20005

Sam Loudenslager Arkansas PSC 1000 Center St. P. O. Box 400 Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Clara Kuehn Federal Communciations Commission 2000 L St., NW, Room 257 Washington, DC 20036

Rafi Mohammed Federal Communciations Commission 2000 L St., NW, Room 812 Washington, DC 20036 Jonathan Reel Federal Communciations Commission 2000 L St., NW, Room 257 Washington, DC 20036

Paul Pederson Missouri PSC P. O. Box 360 Truman State Office Bldg. Jefferson City, MO 65102

James Bradford Ramsay NARUC 1102 ICC Building 1201 Constitution Ave., NW Washington, DC 20423

Gary Seigel
Federal Communications Commission
Common Carrier Bureau
2000 L St., NW, Room 812
Washington, DC 20036

Terry Monroe NY PSC 3 Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Eileen Benner Idaho PUC P. O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0074

Lorraine Kenyon Alaska PUC 1016 West Sixth Ave., Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501

Debra M. Kriete Pennsylvania PUC P. O. Box 3265 Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Mark Nadel Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., NW, Room 542 Washington, DC 20554

Gary Oddi Federal Communications Commission 2000 L St., NW, Room 257 Washington, DC 20036 Jeanine Poltronieri Federal Communications Commission 2000 L St., NW, Room 257 Washington, DC 20036

Pamela Szymczak Federal Communications Commission 2000 L St., NW, Room 257 Washington, DC 20036

Mark Long Florida PSC 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Gerald Gunter Building Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Sandra Makeeff
Iowa utilities Board
Lucas State Office Bldg.
Dew Moines, IA 50319

Philip F. McClelland Pennsylvanai Office of Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburgh, PA 17120

Andrew Mulitz
Federal Communications Commission
2000 L St., NW, Room 257
Washington, DC 20036

Whiting Thayer Federal Communications Commission 2000 L St., NW, Room 812 Washington, DC 20036

Deborah S. Waldbaum Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel 1580 Logan St., Suite 610 Denver, CO 80203

Alex Belinfante Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., NW Washington, DC 20554

Larry Povich Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., NW Washington, DC 20554

ITS 1919 M St., NW, Suite 246 Washington, DC 20554